THE SOCIAL MEDIA PURGE OF CONSERVATIVES IS A GIANT ELECTION MEDDLING SCHEME

Big Tech war on free speech is a 'resistance' plot

Paul Joseph Watson |

The Social Media Purge of Conservatives is a Giant Election Meddling Scheme

longer be denied that there is a coordinated, sustained to censor and silence conservatives by social media Silicon ants as part of a giant election meddling scheme.

ly acknowledged that Facebook and Google both backed both bama and Hillary Clinton in the last two presidential elections.

former campaign director openly admitted Facebook was "on our ing the 2012 campaign.

emails also reveal that Facebook COO Sheryl Sandberg wanted nton to win "badly" and visited her multiple times.

sta emails also prove "a relationship with Schmidt dating back to at 8 and show that Google loaned its jet to members of Clinton's staff on several occasions."

documented that there are at least 45 Facebook employees who, riously worked or volunteered with the Hillary campaigns, the ampaigns, or the Obama White House and are now employed by the Chan-Zuckerberg Initiative."

litical connections demolish the "it's just a private company" t and they also illustrate how the silencing of conservatives is a effort to help the Democrats' election prospects.

internal Facebook message board post also revealed how tives within the company have become irate at its censorious

political monoculture that's intolerant of different views," said the claim to welcome all perspectives, but are quick to attack — often — anyone who presents a view that appears to be in opposition to ng ideology."

N claims that censorship of conservatives is a conspiracy theory President Trump's claim that Big Tech silencing independent voices the multitude of evidence suggests otherwise.

at the sheer number of right-leaning commentators, political ss and news outlets that have been hit with bans, suspensions or sorship.

nes: Banned by Facebook, YouTube (Google), Apple, Spotify and s other tech platforms all within one 48 period.

ssional candidate Elizabeth Heng: campaign ads banned by and Twitter.

itone: Banned by Twitter.

IcInnes & the Proud Boys: Banned by Twitter over spurious claims rting violence" and being an "extremist group," despite McInnes roud Boy group repeatedly disavowing violence.

University: Repeatedly censored by YouTube.

1d and Silk: Repeatedly suspended by Facebook.

Spencer: Mastercard pressured Patreon to remove Spencer's processing facility on unsupported accusations of violencen.

King: Facebook censored paid ads for a pro-life campaign.

y Pettibone: Banned by Patreon.

Southern: Banned by Patreon.

.oomer: Banned by Facebook after confronting a Muslim political

₹.

ican Congresswoman Marsha Blackburn: Twitter censored a nad in which Blackburn criticized Planned Parenthood.

son: Facebook account locked for posting a video supporting Rudy criticisms of Obama.

Avellone: Banned by Twitter.

th Johnston (Activist Mommy): Suspended by Twitter "after she a Teen Vogue editor who approved of publishing an article that led youth to have anal sex."

on the Right: Suspended by Facebook.

Senjamin: Banned by Twitter for insulting David Hogg.

Robinson: Banned by Twitter for the vague accusation of "hateful"

of Akkad: Banned by Twitter.

Geller: Repeatedly suspended by Facebook.


annopolous: Banned by Twitter before the 2016 election for criticizing a Ghostbusters movie and one of its stars, Leslie Jones.

h right-wing political parties: YouTube has repeatedly deleted g content on the eve of Sweden's national election.

; by no means exhaustive. There are innumerable other examples.

recall James O'Keefe's exposé which showed eight current and vitter employees explaining steps the social media giant takes to

mucai content they don't like.




ne anti-war and libertarian or left-leaning personalities and have been censored, the overwhelming majority of individuals and ions affected have been conservatives.

Bokhari writes, "These individuals all had hundreds of thousands, es millions of followers on their social media accounts prior to nned. Their social media platforms served as organizing hubs for fundraisers, rallies, and other political activities of the grassroots eloss of their social media accounts will have a major impact on the conservatives and right-wingers to organize its online supporters S. midterm elections and beyond."

longer be denied that this represents a deliberate effort to m conservatives and purge them from what is now the de facto uare in order to help Democrats win the next two elections. This is neddling. cing place as a result of lobbying by mainstream media networks to shut down their competition, brigading by left-wing Soros front ce Media Matters, and Democratic lawmakers.

nth before Infowars was banned by Facebook and YouTube, tic Congressman Ted Deutch (D-FL) demanded that Facebook and ban Infowars.



t just private companies enforcing their terms of service, this is :ed conspiracy by Big Media, Big Tech and Democratic Party rs to silence their political adversaries.

ave shown that algorithmic changes alone, the very type that implained about in his tweets this week, can shift millions of votes ns.

ust be taken.

nite House petition has been launched calling on Trump to pressure to pass legislation that will protect free speech rights online.

In it right here. Once the petition passes 100,000 signatures, the use will be forced to respond.

on to the petition, with the help of top media lawyers, Infowars has a series of recommendations to be sent to the Trump ration that will help protect free speech online.

ons include directing the Justice Department to open investigations ther there are First Amendment & anti-trust law violations by the tial media platforms. Trump could also set up a Presidential ion made up of free speech experts to advise him on future action.

memo below.



